

MHHS Testing and Migration Advisory Group (TMAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 21/12/23

Meeting number	TMAG 031	Venue	Virtual – MS Teams
Date and time	20 December 2023 1000-1200	Classification	Public

Actions

Area	Action Ref	Action	Owner	Due Date	Update
------	------------	--------	-------	----------	--------

Decisions

Area	Decision Ref	Description
Minutes and Actions	TMAG-DEC62	Approval of Headline Report from the meeting 04 December 2023.
M15 Acceptance Criteria	TMAG-DEC63	Approval of M15 Acceptance Criteria.
CR037	TMAG-DEC64	Approval of issuing CR037: Migration Message Processing Choreography for Impact Assessment to industry.
TORWG Terms of Reference	TMAG-DEC65	Approval of the TORWG Terms of Reference.
CIT Governance Milestones	TMAG-DEC66	Approval of the remaining CIT interval milestones, based on the revised governance approach.
SIT Migration Test Approach and Plan	TMAG-DEC67	Approval of the SIT Migration Test Approach and Plan.
SIT Migration Test Data Approach and Plan	TMAG-DEC68	Approval of the SIT Migration Test Data Approach and Plan.
SIT CIT Batch 3 Test Cases (Intervals 5 and 6)	TMAG-DEC69	Approval of the SIT CIT Batch 3 (Interval 5 and 6) Test Cases.
SIT Functional Test Scenarios and Test Cases (Themes 1 – 5)	TMAG-DEC70	Approval of SIT Functional Test Scenarios and Test Cases (themes 1-5).

3 Month Milestone Look Ahead & Milestone Changes	TMAG-DEC71	Approval of the Milestone Changes.
-------------------------------------------------------------	------------	------------------------------------

Key Discussion Items

Area	Discussion
Minutes and Actions	The Chair (CW) approved the minutes of the previous TMAG. No questions were raised. (DECISION TMAG-DEC62).
TMAG Restructure Approved by PSG	<p>The Programme (CW) shared an updated on the TMAG restructuring, which was approved by PSG on 06 December, with an amendment made to the make-up of MCAG so that it reflects the current TMAG representation.</p> <p>CW noted that a request for nominations for the three new advisory groups had been issued in the Clock with a closing date of 20 December 2023.</p> <p>Further details noted on the slide.</p> <p>The Large Supplier Constituency (LSC) Representative (LN) queried when the meeting cadence for the new advisory groups would be known as this will affect their nomination decisions. CW responded that the cadence was being worked out by the PMO and would be shared early 2024. It was noted that the Programme had agreed the LSC could send their nominations in at a later date because of this.</p>
M15 Acceptance Criteria	<p>The Programme (JW) shared an overview of the M15 Acceptance Criteria, as per the slide. It was noted that the purpose of the document is to define the acceptance criteria, which include the MPAN states that will be 'acceptable' and 'unacceptable' at M15, and to also define the reporting mechanisms that will be used to assess progress towards the acceptance criteria across the Migration Period.</p> <p>Ofgem (JBo) suggested M15 as being 'full transition complete' needs further elaboration. JW responded that this would be brought out in the migration and cutover plan which will look at key activities post testing (M10, M11, M14), driven by M15 being accepted as a completed milestone. It was noted that the plan will be clear on what the milestones mean for Programme Participants (PPs).</p> <p>JW shared the summary of the feedback and updates to the document, as per the slide.</p> <p>JW asked if TMAG members recommended approval of the M15 Acceptance Criteria document v0.2. No objections. (DECISION TMAG-DEC63).</p>

<p>CR037</p>	<p>The Programme (MB) shared an overview of CR037: Migration Message Processing Choreography, as per the slide.</p> <p>MB noted that the recommendation was to have TMAG approval to raise a change request for CR037 to go for impact assessment.</p> <p>The REC representative (JM) queried the governance approach to this approval, noting that the change had been approved by DAG and was a change to Design so should go back to DAG. CW responded that TMAG is better placed to make the decision as it is around migration and the changes that it sets out.</p> <p>CW recommended approval from TMAG members to issue CR037 for impact assessment. No objections. (DECISION TMAG-DEC64).</p>
<p>TORWG Terms of Reference</p>	<p>The Programme (ST) shared the Transition & Operational Readiness Working Group (TORWG) Terms of Reference, as per the slide.</p> <p>CW asked if TMAG members recommended approval for the TORWG Terms of Reference. No objections. (DECISION TMAG-DEC65).</p>
<p>CIT Governance Milestones</p>	<p>The Programme (KC) shared an update on the change in CIT entry for PPs, which amends the interval model impacting test readiness and test commencement milestones.</p> <p>KC shared that the current SIT CIT situation had been discussed with FTIG on 08 December 2023 and decided adjustments to the approach of this needed to be made. Further details on the approach can be found on the slide.</p> <p>KC shared that the revised Governance approach, proposes change to the remaining CIT Tier 2 and Tier 3 milestone decision making. There will be a high-level version of the plan available to FTIG, TMAG & SITWG the first week of January with the target date for the full plan to be available is 17 January 2024.</p> <p>The LSC representative queried if these changes would have any impact to SIT Functional commencement. KC responded that the Programme believes the timelines can still be met and there are dependencies and risks around this, as per the slide.</p> <p>The Elexon representative (RL) queried what the plan is for the deferred tests. LC responded that this is a CIT descoping exercise and PPs will not be expected to run descoped tests. The programme is looking at adding additional testing to mitigate the risk of removing these tests. This additional testing will be planned to be conducted ahead of SIT Functional and will not require PP support.</p> <p>The I&C Supplier representative (SBr) queried if there will be any impact or risk to testing resource being stretched due to all the intervals now being in test at the same time. The Programme is aware of resource capacity, and this is a managed risk that is being monitored.</p> <p>CW asked if TMAG members recommended approval of the remaining CIT interval milestones, based on the revised governance which is supported by FTIG. No objections. (DECISION TMAG-DEC66).</p>
<p>SIT Migration Test Approach and Plan</p>	<p>The Programme (LC) shared an update on the SIT Migration Test Approach and Plan, as per the slide. It was noted that all comments had been addressed.</p> <p>CW asked if TMAG members recommended approval of the SIT Migration Test Approach and Plan v0.4. No objections. (DECISION TMAG-DEC67).</p>

<p>SIT Migration Test Data Approach and Plan</p>	<p>The Programme (JW) shared that the SIT Migration Test Data Approach and Plan is the complementary document to the one above.</p> <p>JW shared an update on the SIT Migration Test Data Approach and Plan, as per the slide.</p> <p>CW asked if TMAG members recommended approval of the SIT Migration Test Data Approach and Plan. No objections. (DECISION TMAG-DEC68).</p>
<p>SIT CIT Batch 3 Test Cases (Interval 5 and 6)</p>	<p>The Programme (KD) shared an update on the SIT CIT batch 3 test cases (interval 5 and 6), as per the slide.</p> <p>The REC representative mentioned that the test cases had been created before the de-scope and therefore some would not be valid, and whether the approach is to approve these and then de-scope. LC responded that yes it would be to approve with the acknowledgement that this is pre the de-scope revision.</p> <p>CW asked if TMAG members recommended approval of the SIT CIT batch 3 test cases (interval 5 and 6) test cases. No objections. (DECISION TMAG-DEC69).</p>
<p>SIT Functional Test Scenarios and Test Cases Delivery Plan</p>	<p>The Programme (KD) shared an update on the SIT Functional test themes revised delivery plan, as per the slide. It was shared that the artefacts had been grouped according to themes and deep dive sessions held. This approach has been highly effective, and all themes had progressed for completion, aside from theme 8 (settlement).</p> <p>The revised delivery plan was shared, as per the slide.</p> <p>KD noted that theme 8 had been moved to the SIT functional cycle 2 window to achieve functional stability in cycle 1. It was noted that as this theme is complex the Programme was running a series of bi-laterals and workshops to scope and understand it.</p> <p>KD shared that theme 1-5 PPs reviews and uplift had been completed and they were looking for December TMAG approval. Theme 6,7,9 target approval would be January TMAG and theme 8 target approval would be February TMAG.</p>
<p>SIT Functional Test Scenarios and Test Cases (themes 1-5)</p>	<p>The Programme (KD) shared update to SIT Functional Test Scenarios and Test Cases (themes 1-5), as per the slide.</p> <p>The REC representative queried if TMAG members were being asked to approve v0.2 or v0.3 (released 19 December). KD responded that it is v0.2 red-lined version on the Collaboration Base and this is the baseline version. KD added that they needed a mechanism to apply fixes on a long-term basis that wouldn't need to come for approval for each fix, so iterations would be made on an ongoing basis.</p> <p>CW asked if TMAG members recommended approval of the SIT Functional Test Scenarios and Test Cases (themes 1-5). No objections. (DECISION TMAG-DEC70).</p>
<p>Release Management and Change Freeze Update</p>	<p>The Programme (LC) shared the major releases, minor releases, adhoc releases and emergency releases, as per the slide. To provide clarity on when the Programme will be applying these releases (50 minutes).</p> <p>LC shared an update on the interim design releases, as per the slide. This included background, proposed interim release alignment with testing, acknowledgements and next steps.</p> <p>LC shared the plan for the SIT Functional Test Programme and the Major Releases plan for release date and implementation dates for these into the test programme, as per the slide.</p>

	LC shared the Change Freeze which had been approved at PSG, as per the slide (1 hour 04 minutes). No questions raised.
CIT and Secondary Routing	LC shared an overview on the CIT and secondary routing, as per the slide (1 hour 09 minutes). It was noted that this is optional to PPs, however the Programme asks for any PPs wanting to test this to contact them via testing@mhhsprogramme.co.uk . No questions raised.
Qualification Update	The Elexon Qualification Lead (GP) shared updates on Qualification, as per the slide (1 hour 15 minutes). No questions raised.
IPA Test Assurance Update	The IPA (RH) shared an update on the test assurance status, as per the slide. (1 hour 20 minutes). No questions raised.
3 Month Milestone Look Ahead & Milestone Changes	CW asked if TMAG members recommended approval of the TMAG owned Milestone changes to the Programme Plan. No objections. (TMAG-DEC71) .
Top Programme Risks related to TMAG	The Programme provided an update on the top five risks related to TMAG, as per the slide. No questions were raised on the risks.
Summary and next steps	The Programme went over next steps and the TMAG agenda roadmap, as per the slide. No AOB raised.

Date of next TMAG: 17 January 2024

Attendees

Chair

Chris Welby (CW)

SRO SME

Industry Representatives

Jonny Moore (JM)

Retail Energy Code (REC) Representative

Lee Northall (LN)

Large Supplier Representative

Louise Burr (LB)

DNO Representative (*covering for Ian Hatton*)

Riccardo Lampini (RL)

Elexon Representative

Shelby Gillespie (SG)

Supplier Agent Representative (*covering for Ian Hall*)

Shaun Brundett (SBr)

I&C Supplier Representative

Stacey Buck (SBu)

iDNO Representative

MHHS IM Members

Adrian Page (AP)

SI Lead

Amy Clayton (AC)

PMO Governance Support

John Wiggins (JW)
Keith Clark (KC)
Kevin Davis (KD)
Kiran Raj (KR)
Lee Cox (LC)
Matthew Breen (MB)
Richard Puddephatt (RP)
Sean Tuffy (ST)
Warren Fulton

Migration lead
Programme Manager
Testing Architect
SRO Test Lead
Test Management Lead
Migration Analyst
Data Lead
Migration Analyst
Design Project Manager

Other Attendees

Bhoopal Bereddy (BB)
George Player (GP)
Jenny Boothe (JBo)
Rhiannon Harrison (RH)
Sinead Quinn (SQ)
Steve Mullins (SM)

IPA
Elexon Qualification Lead
Ofgem
IPA
Ofgem
IPA

Apologies

Naomi Walker (NW)
Nickie Bernsmeier-Rulow (NBR)
Richard Marriott (RM)
Sandeep Vuppalanchi (SV)

E.ON Next Representative
DCC Representative
National Grid ESO Representative
Medium Supplier Representative